Approved For Release 2005/08/03 : CIA-RDP75B00380R000709980088A1

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20505

26 October 1973

Mr. Wilfred H. Rommel
Assistant Director for
Legislative Reference
Office of Management and Budget
Washington, D.C. 20503

Dear Mr. Rommel:

This is to confirm our conversation with Mr. Tryck of your office that we have no substantive comments to offer concerning H.R. 9985, "To extend the duties of the Executive Protective Service to include the protection of the United Nations buildings in New York City, and for other purposes", as the bill is not within our purview.

John M. Maury
Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

Original - Addressee

√1 - OLC Subject file

1 - OMB Liaison file

1 - OLC Chrono

OLC/LLM: fmc (25 October 1973)

STAT

,	ROUTING	S AND	RECOR	SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional)				
H.R. 9985				
FRO			EXTENSION	OLC 73-1068
OLC			· []	DATE
7D35				19 September 1973
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)		ATE	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		- I - I If explanatory
1.				The attached is self explanatory. It is assumed that you would
				recommend that the Agency's
2.				position should be that this
				legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive
3.				comments to offer". If you concur
				in this, or if you wish to recomme
4.				a different approach, please advise on or before Tuesday, 25 September
				on or before fuesday, 25 September
5.				
				-
6 .				
				_
7 .		•		
8. i				
9.				
10.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				cc: OS. OGC. CI

FORM 3-62

. Approved For Release 2005/08/03 : CIA-RDP75B00380R000700080028-1

2 5 SEP 1973

STAT

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Legislative Counsel
ΓΑΤ	ATTENTION :	
	SUBJECT :	H.R. 9985 - A Bill to Extend the Duties of the Executive Protective Service to include the protection of the United Nations Buildings in New York City, and for other purposes
	request of 19 Septemb	andum is submitted in response to your er 1973 for comments on the Subject Bill.
	is believed that the Ag is not within our purvi	ens of the Bill have been reviewed, and it ency's position should be that this legislation ew, and we have no substantive comments to if any additional information is desired.
		Director of Sequirity

OGC A 19 17 7 9/9/3 The attached is self explanator t is assumed that you would recommend that the Agency's position should be that this legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you concern this, or if you wish to recomm a different approach, please advion or before Tuesday, 25 Septem 5. Checkson of the comments to offer the comments the comments to offer the comments to offer the comments to offer the comments to offer the comments the comments to offer the comments the comments the comments the comments to offer the comments	H. R. 9985 FROM OLC 73-1068 O		ROUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SMEET
EXEMSION OLC 73-1068 OLC 74-1068 OLC 74-10	FROM OLC 73-1068	SUBJECT: (Optional)				
OLC 7D35 TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building) TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building) RECEIVED FORWARDED 1. OGC Quantification of the action of the whom. Draw a line across column after each comment to show from whom. Draw a line across column after each comment to show from whom. Draw a line across column after each commend that the Agency's position should be that this legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you concern a different approach, please advion or before Tuesday, 25 Septem on the comment of the comment of the comments to offer the comments to or before Tuesday, 25 Septem on the comment of the comment of the comments to offer the comments to or before Tuesday, 25 Septem the comment of the comments to the comment of the comments to offer the comments to offer the comments to offer the comments to offer the comments to or before Tuesday, 25 Septem the comments to offer the comments to th	OLC 73-1068 OATE TO CORFIE designation, room number, and building) OGC TOSC TOSC TOSC TOSC TOSC TOSC TOSC TOS	H.R. 9985				
OLC 7055 TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building) OGC Quitable Profewance in this position should be that this legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you concern in this, or if you wish to recomm a different approach, please advion or before Tuesday, 25 Septem 5.	OLC 7D35 TO: [Officer designation, room number, and building] RECEIVED FORWARDED NIMIALS OGC 1. Officer designation, room number, and building RECEIVED FORWARDED NIMIALS OGC 2. OAC OGC 4 9 1 7 9 9/3 The attached is self explanatory t is assumed that you would recommend that the Agency's position should be that this legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you concur in this, or if you wish to recomme a different approach, please advis on or before Tuesday, 25 Septemb 7.	FROM.	-		EXTENSION	
TO. (Officer designation, room number, and building) 1. OGC Q 19 17 7/9/23 1. OGC Q 10 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	TO. (Officer designation, room number, and building) DATE SECENTO TORWARDED	OLC				
COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from with a specific comment to show from with the comment to show from which comments to self explanator. In the attached is self explanator to the attached is self explanator. In the attached is self explanator to the attached is self explanator. In the attached is self explanator to the same with the Agency's position should be that the Agency should be the Agency sho	OGC Comment to show from who when the position should be that this legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you concur in this, or if you wish to recomment a different approach, please advis on or before Tuesday, 25 Septemb Comment to the whom. Draw of ine across column offer each comment to you would recommend that the Agency's position should be that this legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you concur in this, or if you wish to recomment a different approach, please advis on or before Tuesday, 25 Septemb Comment to comment that the Agency's position should be that this legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you concur in this, or if you wish to recomment a different approach, please advis on or before Tuesday, 25 Septemb Comment to offer a comment to offer end to whom. Draw of ine across column of the whom. The across column of the whom the across column of the across column o					19 September 1973
The attached is self explanator t is assumed that you would recommend that the Agency's position should be that this legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you conce in this, or if you wish to recomm a different approach, please advi on or before Tuesday, 25 Septem 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	OGC A 19 7 9/3 The attached is self explanatory t is assumed that you would recommend that the Agency's position should be that this legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you concu in this, or if you wish to recomme a different approach, please advis on or before Tuesday, 25 Septemb 7. To Ch C OAC A 1		D	ATE		
recommend that the Agency's position should be that this legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you conce in this, or if you wish to recomm a different approach, please advion or before Tuesday, 25 Septem 5.	2. Peccommend that the Agency's position should be that this legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you concu in this, or if you wish to recomme a different approach, please advis on or before Tuesday, 25 Septemb 5. 7. ## CACC ##		i	1	IIIIIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment
recommend that the Agency's position should be that this legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you conce in this, or if you wish to recomm a different approach, please advion or before Tuesday, 25 Septem 5.	2. Peccommend that the Agency's position should be that this legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you concu in this, or if you wish to recomme a different approach, please advis on or before Tuesday, 25 Septemb 5. 7. ## CACC ##	1.	119/13	9/19/22		
position should be that this legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you concuin this, or if you wish to recomme a different approach, please advisor or before Tuesday, 25 Septem to the concept of the	position should be that this legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you concu in this, or if you wish to recomme a different approach, please advis on or before Tuesday, 25 Septemb 5. 7. 8. 9. 11.		91	11/2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you conce in this, or if you wish to recomm a different approach, please advion or before Tuesday, 25 Septem 5. 7. 8. 9. 10.	purview, we have no substantive comments to offer". If you concur in this, or if you wish to recomment a different approach, please advis on or before Tuesday, 25 Septemb 5. 7. 8. 9. 0.	2.				
a. a. a. a. b. comments to offer". If you conce in this, or if you wish to recomm a different approach, please advion or before Tuesday, 25 Septem 5. b. c. c. d. d. d. d. d. d. d. d	comments to offer". If you concue in this, or if you wish to recomme a different approach, please advison or before Tuesday, 25 Septemb 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 11. 2.	OKC				
in this, or if you wish to recomma a different approach, please advion or before Tuesday, 25 Septem to Oh C T	in this, or if you wish to recomme a different approach, please advis on or before Tuesday, 25 Septemb 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 11. 2.	3.				F '
a different approach, please advion or before Tuesday, 25 Septem 5. 7. 8. 9. 10.	a different approach, please advis on or before Tuesday, 25 Septemb 5. 7. 8. 9. 1. 2. 3.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
5.	5.	4.				a different approach, please advis
7. 8. 9. 10. 2. 3.	7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2.	5				on or before Tuesday, 25 Septemb
7. 8. 9. 11. 2. 13.	7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2.					tocke
7. 8. 9. 11. 2. 13.	7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2.					
7. 8. 9. 11. 12. 13.	7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2.	0.				(agree !
8. 9. 110. 2. 3.	8. 9. 11. 2. 3.	7				
9.	9.					
9.	9.	8.			·	
10.	10.					
2.	2.	9.				V.
2.	2.					
3.	2. 3.	0.	-			
3.	2. 3.				j	
3.	3.	1.				
3.	3.					
		2.				
4.	4.	3.				
4.	4.					
		4.				

INTERNAL

610 USE PREVIOUS

E	ROUTING ANI	D RECO	RD SMEET
SUBJECT: (Optional)			
II.R. 9985			
FROM.		EXTENSION	NO. OLC 73-1068
OLC			DATE
7D35 TO: (Officer designation, room number, and			19 September 1973
building)	DATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from who to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment
1.	RECEIVED FORWARDE		District Comment
Da Gi	20 SEP T	3/3	The attached is self explanatory
2.		<u>L</u>	It is assumed that you would recommend that the Agency's
			position should be that this
3.			legislation "is not within our purview, we have no substantive
			comments to offer". If you concur
4.		 	in this, or if you wish to recomme
			a different approach, please advis- on or before Tuesday, 25 September
5.			Tuesday, 25 September
0 L C 7035			, , , , ,
6.			what you may all
			What you may do
7.			
8.			
6.			
9.			
0.	•		
1.			
1			
2.			
3.			
4			
4.			

INTERNAL

Approved For Release 2005/08/03 : CIA-RDP75B00380R000700080028-1

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

September 10, 1973



LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

To:

Legislative Liaison Officer

Department of State Department of the Treasury Department of Justice Central Intelligence Agency

H.R. 9985, a bill "To extend the duties of the Executive Protective Service to include the Subject: protection of the United Nations buildings in New York City, and for other purposes."

The Office of Management and Budget would appreciate receiving the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

- To permit expeditious handling, it is requested that your reply be made within 30 days. (X)
- Special circumstances require priority treatment and accordingly your views are requested by

Questions should be referred to Ben Tryck (103X4620) or to William V. Skidmore the legislative analyst in this office.

(103X4870),

William V. Skidmore for Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

Enclosures

93D CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. R. 9985

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

August 3, 1973

Mr. Rosenthal (for himself, Ms. Abzug, Mr. Addabbo, Mr. Badillo, Mr. Biaggi, Mr. Bingham, Mr. Brasco, Mrs. Chisholm, Mr. Delaney, Ms. Holtzman, Mr. Peyser, Mr. Podell, Mr. Rangel, Mr. Wolff, and Mr. Murphy of New York) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Public Works

A BILL

To extend the duties of the Executive Protective Service to include the protection of the United Nations buildings in New York City, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 That (a) section 202 of title 3 of the United States Code is
- 4 amended by striking out "and (5)" and inserting in lieu
- 5 thereof the following: "(5) any building in New York City
- 6 in which United Nations offices are located, including mis-
- 7 sions of United Nations member states located in New York
- 8 City; and (b)".

93D CONGRESS 1st Session

H. R. 9985

To extend the duties of the Executive Protective Service to include the protection of the United Nations buildings in New York City, and for other purposes.

By Mr. Rosenthal, Ms. Abzug, Mr. Addabbo, Mr. Badillo, Mr. Biaggi, Mr. Bingham, Mr. Brasco, Mrs. Chisholm, Mr. Delaney, Ms. Holtzman, Mr. Peyser, Mr. Podell, Mr. Rangel, Mr. Wolff, and Mr. Murphy of New York

August 3, 1973

Referred to the Committee on Public Works

Approved For Release 2005/08/03 : CIA-RDP75B00380R000700080028-1

1 now

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel Friday - 19 October 1973 Page 2

5X1	4. Met with Frank Slatinshek, Chief Counsel,	
	House Armed Services Committee, and made an appointment for Mr. Houston,	
	OGC, and myself to meet with him on Wednesday, 24 October, to discuss	
	various legislative proposals relating to the Agency's statutory authority.	
	Slatinshek asked that I confirm the 10:30 meeting time that morning since the Committee schedule has been rather fluid during the last few days. I	
	told him also that it would be appreciated if Mr. Colby's presentation on	
	Tuesday could summarize the information provided in last week's briefing	
	on the Middle East and then detail the changes that have occurred since that	
	time. Mr. Colby will be the lead witness on Tuesday and will be followed by	·
	William P. Clements, Deputy Secretary of Defense, or whoever makes the	
	main presentation for DOD. The Committee's real need at this time is to	
	determine the extent to which the United States is being involved in the	
X1	Middle East crisis. OCI, has been advised.	
		25X1
		STAT
〈 1		
•		
< 1	7. Advised Ben Tryck, OMB, that the Agency	
X I	7. Advised Ben Tryck, OMB, that the Agency had no substantive comments to offer on H. R. 9985 (extending protective	
	services to UN buildings in New York City), as the subject was not within	
	our purview and I agreed that we would send him a letter for his file.	
(1	8. Called Jim Woolsey, General Counsel,	
	Senate Armed Services Committee, to remind him that the prohibitory	***
	language in new section (g) under Stennis' bill (S. 2597) was lifted from the	
	Proxmire bill. Woolsey thanked us for the reminder and said he would	
	make sure that Stennis properly acknowledges Proxmire's contribution.	